

# **REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

# 2018 Geotechnical Engineering and Construction Materials Testing Services

## Solicitation No.: PS-00062-SM

# Addendum 1 | July 16, 2018

### CHANGES TO THE RFQ

- 1. Replace Solicitation No. Q-18-010-SM to Solicitation No. PS-00062-SM.
- 2. On page 4, Section IV. B. Submission, #1 and #2., remove and replace with the following:
  - 1. Submit the proposal in pdf format on a USB flash drive OR CD. The flash drive or CD should contain the entire proposal as submitted, to include all required signatures, and be encased in a paper envelope, clearly marked with the solicitation information.
  - 2. Responses must be securely bound by any means except by 3-ring binders and paper/binder clips. One (1) hard copy should be clearly marked as "ORIGINAL" on the cover and the signature sheet and should include original signatures. Eight (8) must be submitted as well. The CD or USB, original and all copies should be submitted in a sealed package, with the project information and deadline date and time clearly identified on the outside of the package.
- 3. On page 5, Section C., Response Format, #5. Work Order Project Approach, remove c. in its entirety.

### END CHANGES TO THE RFQ

#### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Under the Response Format Item 5C. and in context of deviation of the approved budget/schedule, statement reads "Describe protections SAWS should consider".

Can we get some clarification on the 'Protection' SAWS is seeking...

Response: See Changes to RFQ above #3.

2. For Item 10 – Past Performance, it states "Provide a list of past projects Respondent......Work Order Basis"

My interpretation for this item is to provide a table of projects that we have completed along with key information like owner, client, scope, work order basis etc.

Is that ok to provide a table of projects for item 10?

*Response:* Yes. Respondent shall ensure that all of the requested information is provided, as well is clear and legible.

3. Instead of a CD format, can we submit a flash drive?

Response: Yes, see Changes to RFQ above #2.

4. Even though the title of the solicitation includes 'Geotechnical Engineering' but in 'ITEM B: Scopes of Services' there is no mention of types of services such as bearing capacity calculation, settlement analysis, foundation/pavement recommendations etc. that would fall within Geotechnical Engineering. All the scope of services mentioned in ITEM B fall within the Construction Materials Testing domain.

My question is in formulating our response for Approach, Work Plan, QA/QC procedures, should we provide separate narrative for Geotechnical Engineering and Construction Materials Testing services or should we provide information in context of Construction Materials Testing and Reporting only?

p.s. my understand is that this contract is mainly used for construction materials testing services only and geotechnical engineering services are procured through design solicitations as a sub to Civil Prime firm.

Response: Although the primary purpose of this contract is for materials testing and specialized inspections, instances may arise where geotechnical engineering advice or recommendations are requested.

#### END OF QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

No other items, dates, or deadlines for this RFQ are changed.

#### END ADDENDUM 1

This Addendum is two (2) pages in its entirety. There are no attachments.